SEP 2 0 2002 4

PATENT Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 6556.0003-04

IN FRESHITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9-25.0

In re Application of:	
John POLK	) Group Art Unit: 2161
Application No.: 09/975,241	) Examiner: Edward Cosimano
Filed: October 12, 2001	
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CHILD PAYMENT PROCESSING AND CHILD SUPPORT DISBURSEMENT PROCESSING BY A PROCESSING ENTITY	RECEIVED SEP 2 5 2002
Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231	GROUP 3600

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Enclosed is a Notice of Related Litigation and an Information Disclosure Statement. A fee of \$180.00 to cover the Information Disclosure Statement is enclosed.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Dated: September 20, 2002

Sir:

Robert E. Converse, Jr.

Reg. No. 27.432

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER !!!



PATENT Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 06556.0003-04

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Application of:	
John	POLK	) Group Art Unit: 2161
Applic	cation No.: 09/975,241	Examiner: Edward R. COSIMANO
Filed:	October 12, 2001	)
For:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENT PROCESSING AND CHILD SUPPORT DISBURSEMENT PROCESSING BY A PROCESSING ENTITY	SEP 25 2002
Comn	nissioner for Patents	30

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

## NOTICE OF RELATED LITIGATION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, as clarified by § 2001.06(c) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Applicant hereby notifies the Examiner that U.S. Patent No. 6,119,107 ("the '107 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 5,946,669 ("the '669 patent") are the subject of a litigation in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota (Civ. Action No. 02-CV-1231 DWF/SRN) between plaintiff Pay Child Support Online Inc. against defendant ACS State & Local Solutions, Inc. The plaintiff's Complaint is attached as Exhibit A. Defendant (and counter-plaintiff) ACS State & Local Solutions, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaim is attached as Exhibit B.

A brief description of the familial relationship between this application and the patents-in-suit follows. Application no. 08/941,187 ("the '187 application"), filed

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER LLP

Application Serial No. Attorney Docket No. 6556.0003-04

September 30, 1997, matured into the '669 patent. Divisional application no. 09/003,941 ("the '941 application"), filed January 7, 1998, resulted from the '187 application and matured into the '107 patent. Application no. 09/413,862 ("the '862 application"), filed October 7, 1999, is a continuation from the '941 application. The instant application is a continuation of the '862 application.

In this dispute, the plaintiff has alleged <u>inter alia</u>, albeit without support, that the '107 and the '669 patents are invalid under § 102(a) and (b), although it is not clear from the Complaint what particular art the plaintiff relies upon. Further, the plaintiff alleges, without support, that the '107 and the '669 patents are invalid under § 102(f) "as the transfer of Child Support Payments Nationally would not have been possible without the creation of the Child Support Application Banking Convention developed with Federal Funds and adopted by the National Automated Clearing House Association and DISA." The plaintiff also alleges, without support, that the '107 and the '669 patents are invalid under § 102(g) because "the claims of the patent were already in use in this country by others, including multiple state agencies."

The plaintiff further alleges, without support, that the invention is not unique and is obvious to all skilled in the art. However, the Complaint does not specifically identify any basis for this allegation.

Finally, the Complaint alleges, again without support, that the '107 and the '669 patents are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct due to an intentional failure to disclose pertinent information to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office during prosecution of the '941 and '187 applications, as well as a bald complaint of patent misuse. The allegedly pertinent information, which defendant (and counter-plaintiff) was

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

Application Serial No. Attorney Docket No. 6556.0003-04

allegedly aware of and did not disclose, is being submitted in an Information Disclosure Statement filed concurrently with this Notice of Related Litigation.

In the counterclaim, defendant/counter-plaintiff alleges, among other causes of action, willful infringement of the '669 patent and willful infringement of the '107 patent by plaintiff/counter-defendant. Notably, the plaintiff/counter-defendant admits infringement in the Complaint.

Applicant will continue to keep the PTO informed of further developments in this related litigation.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Notice, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: September 20, 2002

Robert E. Converse, Jr.

Reg. No. 27,432

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER LLP